Evaluating Reasoning

Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	Evaluating Reasoning	Step 4		
,	,	, ,	Evaluate the character's decisions:			
What is the action the	What were the	Why did the character	What flaws were there in the character's	What logical reasons support the	Do you agree or disagree	Does the character's
character takes?	consequences?	act in this way?	thinking?	choices made?	with the characters	treatment of the other
(Text based)	(Text based and/or inferred	(Text based and/or inferred information)	Support your answer.	Support your answer.	reasoning?	characters seem fair?
	information)				Support your answer.	Why or why not?
					Opinion:	Judgment:
					l agree/disagree	Yes, it's fair/ No, it's not
1. Frantizek carries poem	1. He is sentenced to Gestapo	1. He opposed Hitler's actions	1. He did not realize he would get	1. He did not care; he felt it was	1. Agree	fair
on his person to spread	prison for 3 years; he is		caught	worth it to voice his		1. <u>Fair</u>
underground literature	transferred to Rodogoszcz			opposition to Hitler		
	Polish political prisoners				Evidence:	Evidence:
	camp when Russians invade				Down with Hitler and	Hitler was a racist
					racism	(ex from text ?)
	2. He was shot/grazed					
2. He ran for the loft	and fell down					
	a an four vorit	2. He was told to do so (?)		2. The loft could be a safe		
		- 1 to 11th to the to the 50 (1)	4. He thought he might make it	destination		2. NA
					a Agrees	2. 100
			2. He assumed he had no other	2. He might be fast	2. <u>Agree</u>	

			choice			
			Cibico		<u>Evidence:</u>	
	3. passed the test of				The ss shoots those who are	
11 ()						
3. He feigned dead	pretense – stayed				disloyal (is this in the text?	
		3. So he could stay alive by	3. He did not know they would	3. The SS did not mess with the		
		pretending he was dead	search the bodies by using	bodies at the time	3. <u>Agree</u>	3. NA
			flashlights for eye test and			
	4. He is the only one		probing		Evidence:	
4. He is the only one who	who stays alive				3. It worked for awhile; he	
decides not to escape over	4. He is saved at the end				did not get shot at again	
the wall	of the war		4. They could have found him	4. The ones that tried t escape were		
		4. He saw and heard others die during the		shot	4. <u>Agree</u>	
		escape attempts				
					Evidence:	4. <u>Not fair</u>
					The others were shot and	
					did not survive the war	<u>Evidence:</u>
						Hw abandoned the others
					5. <u>Disagree</u>	
					, <u> </u>	5. <u>Fair</u>
					Evidence:	
						Evidence:
					Just get it over with	
						The theory of "everyone
						for themself"

Step 1	Step 2	Step 3		Step 4 Evaluate the character's	decisions	
What is the action the	What were the	Why did the character	What flaws were there in the character's	What logical reasons support the	Do you agree or disagree	Does the character's
character takes?	consequences?	act in this way?	thinking?	choices made?	with the characters	treatment of the other
(Text based)	(Text based and/or inferred	(Text based and/or inferred information)	Support your answer.	Support your answer.	reasoning?	characters seem fair?
	information)				Support your answer.	Why or why not?
					More Evidence:	More Evidence:

Do what it takes to survive unbelievingly hard situations.			
stand up for what you believe in (the lifeskill of Courage)			
Luck: You just never know — you might get lucky			
Step 6: What would you do in a similar situation? Why?			
I would give up because I would probably not get out anyway.			
I would close myself of to any emotions because ———— ???????			
1 would do what 1 was told to do because?????????			
1 would not follow directions because they will shoot me anyway.			